

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/646,421	BRANDENBURG, JOHN E.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Alexa D. Neckel	1764

**All Participants:**

(1) Alexa D. Neckel.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Brian Steinberger.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 September 2005

**Time:** 3:15pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

1-12

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
 See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**ALEXA DOROSHENK NECKEL**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Alex Neckel  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney Steinberger agreed to cancel claims which were non-elected with traverse with the understanding that an obvious-type double patenting rejection cannot be made in a divisional application to the non-elected invention (process claims 7-12) in view of the instant claims (apparatus 1-6).